fashion. What is the invidious distinction made between those titles and our titles now like Padma Vibhushan, etc., which are supposed to be conferred for equally meritorious and distinguished service to the country?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: In the past, generally, these titles were given to supporters of the regime then—I say 'generally', I am not saying in all cases. In the present cases, persons who render service to the community are taken into consideration and they are given these awards. They cannot be used as titles. It is only in recognition of their service to the country. It is wrongly considered as titles.

SRI A. V. P. ASAITHAMBI: ஆன் எ பாயிண்ட் ஆப் ஆர்டர், நான் பத்மவிபூஷண் என்பதற்கு அர்த்தம் என்ன என்று கேட்டேன்? அதற்கு பதில் சொல்லவில்லை. அது எப்படி ஒழுங்கு ஆகும்?

MR. SPEAKER: அது ஒழுங்கு இல்லை என்று எப்படி சொல்லமுடியும்? (சிரிப்பு.)

Backward Classes

*503 Q.—SRI T. T. DANIEL: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether the Government have issued any orders for the inclusion of all those Backward Classes in the Kanyakumari district declared as such prior to 1st November 1956 by the former Travancore-Cochin State in the list of Backward Classes of the Madras State?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: The question of incorporating the list of Backward Classes in the Kanyakumari district as issued by the former Travancore-Cochin State Government prior to 1st November 1956, in the list of Backward Classes appended to the Madras Educational Rules in under consideration. But, the fee concessions that were in force before that date, are being continued without causing hardship to Backward Classes.

SRI T. T. DANIEL: May I know from the Hon. Minister whether the list of backward communities in Kanyakumari area prior to merger is also considered for the purposes of appointments?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: This is with reference to Educational Rules. It does not necessarily mean that persons getting educational fee concession would be entitled for reservation under the provisions of the Constitution. That is a different matter. I cannot give that assurance to the hon. Member.

Revenue Division, South Arcot district

9-20 a.m. *504 Q.—SRI A. GOVINDASAMY (on behalf of Sri S. A. M. Annamalai Odayar): Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government have considered any proposal at any time to have only two taluks in each Revenue Division in the South Arcot district?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: No, Sir.